Identifying Biased Mainstream Media

Compiled by Gary J. Duarte January, 2020

With 65 years of observation behind me, the current status of our media news coverage has never been worse. It is important to try to guide people how they can **identify "biased, staged and misleading media stories."**

- Does the interviewer ask a question for a "comment about another person's statement?"
- Does the interviewer frame "his own" statement about an issue, <u>prior</u> to asking the interviewee question?
- Look for sudden spikes in followers or engagement, e.g. social media. "You can identify a fake influencer by observing a major spike in following/engagement, particularly overnight or within a short period of time." Baiting or promoting the dialogue.
- Does the interviewer cut off the interviewee when the response is **not** what the interviewer wanted?
- Can you tell if the questions are staged for a target audience?
- Who produce the story?
- Is the dialogue focused to a target audience?
- Who paid for this? Or, who gets paid if you click on this article?
- Who might benefit or be harmed by this message?
- What is left out of this message that is important and purposefully left out?
- Is this credible (and what makes you think that or not)?

Media Misrepresentation:

An example of how to identify biased information. Four murders occurred in Carson City and Reno Nevada. A CBS broadcast reported that Gerald and Sharon David were murdered in their home in south Reno in January 2019, "allegedly" by 19-year-old Wilber Martinez-Guzman. He entered the US illegally from El Salvador, according to Immigration and Customs Enforcement. Guzman has been charged with the murder, and not "allegedly", he has admitted his role in the killings. Court documents "charged" him with murder.

The existence today, of **highly skilled "investigative reporters"** is no longer criteria for responsible news reporting. Instead, we have **misrepresentative "opinion" by media people** who are not challenged for their misrepresentation, and often do not understand factual statements. The damage is done when their "<u>opinion</u>" is spread across the print and internet airways to the unsuspecting grassroots public.

The public are exposed to much more misrepresentative media than they are with absolute facts!